IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

JULIO TORRES PALOMO,)	
ID # 1923341,)	
Petitioner,)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
vs.)	
)	3:17-CV-1078-G (BH)
BRIAN COLLIER, Executive Director,)	, ,
Texas Department of Criminal)	
Justice,)	
Respondent.)	

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

After reviewing all relevant matters of record in this case, including the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge and any objections thereto, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the court is of the opinion that the findings and conclusions of the magistrate judge are correct and they are accepted as the findings and conclusions of the court. For the reasons stated in the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, the petition for habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is **DENIED** with prejudice.

In accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) and after considering the record in this case and the recommendation of the magistrate judge, petitioner is **DENIED** a certificate of appealability. The court adopts and incorporates

by reference the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions and recommendation in support

of its finding that the petitioner has failed to show (1) that reasonable jurists would find

this court's "assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong," or (2) that

reasonable jurists would find "it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of

the denial of a constitutional right" and "debatable whether [this Court] was correct in

its procedural ruling." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

If the petitioner files a notice of appeal, he must pay the \$505.00 appellate filing

fee or submit a motion to proceed in forma pauperis and a properly signed certificate of

inmate trust account.

SO ORDERED.

February 5, 2019.

A. IOE FISH

Senior United States District Judge